Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 8933-8937

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

One-pot asymmetric synthesis of α -trifluoromethylated amines from α -trifluoromethyl ketones

Jian Xu^{a,†}, Zhen-Jiang Liu^{b,†}, Xian-Jin Yang^{a,b,*}, Li-Min Wang^{a,b}, Guan-Long Chen^a, Jin-Tao Liu^{b,*}

^a Laboratory for Advanced Materials, Institute of Fine Chemicals, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, China ^b Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 July 2010 Received in revised form 11 September 2010 Accepted 14 September 2010 Available online 18 September 2010

Keywords: α-Trifluoromethylated amine Trifluoromethyl ketone *N-tert*-Butanesulfinamide Asymmetric synthesis

ABSTRACT

Diastereoselective reduction of (*Rs*)-*N*-*tert*-butanesulfinyl α -trifluoromethyl ketimines formed in situ from the corresponding α -trifluoromethyl ketones and *N*-*tert*-butanesulfinamide has been achieved, and either diastereomer of *N*-*tert*-butanesulfinyl α -trifluoromethyl amines was obtained in good yields with excellent diastereoselectivities (up to 99:1 dr) using NaBH₄ and L-Selectride as the reductants, respectively.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Trifluoromethyl-containing compounds have gained growing interest in the field of agrochemistry, pharmaceutical industry, and materials science during the past decades¹ because the introduction of a trifluoromethyl group with strong electron-withdrawing ability can lead to significant changes in the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the molecules. Among such compounds enantiomerically pure α -trifluoromethyl amines have attracted considerable attention since these chiral synthons are important and common subunits in the synthesis of chiral fluorinated pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals.^{1a,b,d} Based on its prime importance in the drug industry, a variety of methodologies have been developed for their asymmetric synthesis,^{2–6} such as nucleophilic trifluoromethylation of N-(tert-butylsulfinyl)-imines,³ addition of organometallic reagents to chiral trifluoromethyl imines or analogues,⁴ reduction of enantiopure trifluoromethyl imines^{5a,b} or catalytic asymmetric reduction of prochiral trifluoromethyl ketimines^{5c} and so on.⁶ However, most of them have suffered from some drawbacks, like for instance, only one enantiomer obtained, or restricted by the availability of organometallic derivatives, or having a narrow substrate scope. Therefore, there is still desirable to develop a more practical and general method suitable for the rapid synthesis of chiral α -trifluoromethyl amines.

Recently, much attention has been paid to the synthesis of chiral CF₃-substituted *N*-sulfinvl imines and their applications in the diastereoselective synthesis of trifluoromethyl amine derivatives.⁷ During our studies on the reactions of CF₃-containing ketones,⁸ we have synthesized a new class of chiral CF₃-substituted α , β -unsaturated N-tert-butanesulfinyl ketimines and explored their preliminary applications in the asymmetric synthesis of either diastereomer of trifluoromethyl allylic amines.⁹ As part of our ongoing research in the development of practical methods for the synthesis of chiral α -CF₃ amines, we herein wish to report a facile one-pot approach for the asymmetric synthesis of either (Rs, R) or (Rs, S) isomer of N-tert-butanesulfinyl α -trifluoromethyl amines by the diastereoselective reduction of (Rs)-N-tert-butanesulfinyl α trifluoromethyl ketimines, which are generated in situ from the condensation of α -trifluoromethyl ketones and (Rs)-N-tertbutanesulfinamide.

2. Results and discussion

We began our investigation with the NaBH₄-mediated one-pot reductive amination of 2,2,2-trifluoroacetophenone (**1a**) according to the conditions previously reported by Ellman and co-workers for the one-pot reductive amination of ketones with *N*-tert-butanesulfinamide.¹⁰ Condensation of **1a** with **2** in dry THF in the presence of 2.5 equiv of Ti(Oi-Pr)₄ under reflux for 24 h,¹¹ followed by in situ reduction with 3.0 equiv of NaBH₄ at -78 °C for 3 h afforded the





^{*} Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: yxj@ecust.edu.cn (X.-J. Yang), jtliu@ mail.sioc.ac.cn (J.-T. Liu).

[†] Contributed equally to this work.

^{0040-4020/\$ —} see front matter \odot 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.09.047

 α -trifluoromethyl sulfinamide **3a** in 52% yield and with a diastereomeric ratio of 98:2 (Table 1, entry 1). To achieve better results, the effect of the solvent was then surveyed. As shown in Table 1, using Et₂O instead of THF as the solvent, the yield of **3a** could be dramatically improved to 85% without any loss in the diastereomeric ratio (3a/4a=98:2, entry 2). When *n*-hexane was used as the solvent, the reaction gave similar yield and diastereoselectivity as using THF (entry 3). Other solvents, such as toluene, CH₂Cl₂, and CH₃CN furnished the α -trifluoromethyl sulfinamides **3a** and **4a** in moderate selectivity but gave lower yields (entries 4-6).

With the two optimized reaction conditions in hand (Table 2, entries 1 and 7), a variety of α -trifluoromethyl ketones were employed as the substrate to explore the scope of the one-pot reductive amination procedures and test the generality of the reversal in diastereofacial selectivity upon using NaBH₄ versus L-Selectride. As summarized in Table 3, all the one-pot reductive aminations of both α -trifluoromethyl arvl and α -trifluoromethyl alkyl ketones went smoothly and afforded the corresponding α -trifluoromethyl amines from 1 in good to excellent yields as well as high diastereoselectivities. α-Trifluoromethyl aryl ketones containing both

Table 1

Solvent screen for the NaBH4-mediated one-pot reductive amination of 1a



Entry ^a	Solvent	Temp (°C)	Yield ^b (%)	3a/4a ^c
1	THF	-78	52	98:2
2	Et ₂ O	-78	85	98:2
3	<i>n</i> -hexane	-78	54	98:2
4	Toluene	-78	34 ^d	82:18
5	CH ₂ Cl ₂	-78	31 ^d	71:29
6	CH ₃ CN	-30 to -40	45 ^d	60:40

All reductions were performed using 3.0 equiv of $NaBH_4$ in the appropriate solvent for 3 h.

Isolated yield of **3a** in two steps unless otherwise noted.

Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹⁹F NMR of the crude reaction mixture.

^d The overall yield of **3a** and **4a** in two steps. Determined by ¹⁹F NMR of the crude reaction mixture.

Encouraged by the above results, we then examined a series of metal hydrides to test the possibility of stereoselectivity reversal using ether as the solvent.^{9,10a,12} The results were promising, as revealed in Table 2. When DIBAL-H was used instead of NaBH₄ as the reductant, a slight improvement in diastereoselectivity (3a/4a= 99:1) was observed but in a lower yield (entry 2). Catecholborane was less reactive and only 14% overall yield of 3a and 4a was obtained after 3 h (entry 3). NaBH₃CN and LiBH₄ exhibited poor levels of diastereoselection in favor of isomer **3a** (entries 4-5). We were gratified to find the reversal of the diastereofacial selectivity when LiBHEt₃ was used as the reducing agent (entry 6). More excitingly, performing the reduction with L-Selectride resulted in the opposite stereoisomer 4a in good yield with high diastereoselectivity (**4a**/**3a**=98:2, entry 7).¹³

electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents at the para position proved to be excellent substrates in both systems, giving 90–96% de (entries 1–8). It is worth to be mentioned that both systems gave even better diastereoselectivities (dr up to 99:1) for α -trifluoromethyl alkyl ketones (entries 9–12). Thus, with two different reduction systems, the opposite stereocontrol could be easily achieved. The absolute configuration of product 3a and 3d, 4a and **4d** was unequivocally assigned as (Rs, R) and (Rs, S) by cleaving the sulfinamide with HCl and examining the optical rotation of the known salts. By analogy, the absolute configuration of products **3b**-c, e-f, and **4b**-c, e-f is tentatively assigned as (Rs, R) and (Rs, S).

On the basis of the diastereoselectivity observed (Table 3), the rationale of the reversal in diastereofacial selectivity upon changing reductant from NaBH₄ to L-Selectride may be explained via a cyclic

Table 2

The one-pot reductive amination of 1a with various reducing agents



Entry ^a	Reducing agent	Yield ^b (%)	3a:4a ^c
1	NaBH ₄	85 (3 a)	98:2
2	DIBAL-H	46 ^d	99:1
3	Catecholborane	14 ^d	98:2
4	NaBH ₃ CN	40 (3a)	72:28
5	LiBH ₄	58 (3a)	80:20
6	LiBHEt ₃	43 ^d	18:82
7	L-Selectride	78 (4a)	2:98

All reductions were performed using 3.0 equiv of reducing agent in Et₂O at -78 °C for 3 h.

^b Isolated yield of **3a** or **4a** in two steps unless otherwise noted.

Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹⁹F NMR of crude reaction mixture.

^d The overall yield of **3a** and **4a** in two steps. Determined by ¹⁹F NMR of crude reaction mixture.

Table 3

The one-pot reductive amination of **1** with NaBH₄ and L-Selectride



Entry	R	Reductant	Product	Yleid" (%)	ar
1	Ph (1a)	NaBH ₄	3a	85	98:2
2	Ph (1a)	L-Selectride	4 a	78	98:2
3	$4-MeOC_{6}H_{4}(\mathbf{1b})$	NaBH ₄	3b	78	95:5
4	$4-MeOC_{6}H_{4}(\mathbf{1b})$	L-Selectride	4b	68	96:4
5	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ (1c)	NaBH ₄	3c	72	97:3
6	4-MeC ₆ H ₄ (1c)	L-Selectride	4c	70	96:4
7	$4-BrC_{6}H_{4}(\mathbf{1d})$	NaBH ₄	3d	66	98:2
8	$4-BrC_{6}H_{4}(\mathbf{1d})$	L-Selectride	4d	60	96:4
9	$C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$ (1e)	NaBH ₄	3e	69	99:1
10	$C_6H_5(CH_2)_3$ (1e)	L-Selectride	4e	68	99:1
11	<i>n</i> -C ₈ H ₁₇ (1f)	NaBH ₄	3f	79	99:1
12	<i>n</i> -C ₈ H ₁₇ (1f)	L-Selectride	4f	84	99:1

All reductions were performed using 3.0 equiv of NaBH₄ or L-Selectride in Et₂O at -78 °C for 3 h.

^b Isolated yield of 3a-f or 4a-f in two steps.
 ^c Diastereomeric ratios were determined by ¹⁹F NMR of the crude reaction mixture.

transition state in the former reduction (NaBH₄) and an open transition state in the latter case (L-Selectride) as previously proposed by Andersen and co-workers^{12c} (Scheme 1). Although the steric hindrance of CF₃ group is similar to phenyl and between those of ^{*i*}Pr and ^{*t*}Bu,¹⁴ high diastereoselectivities were observed in all the cases examined (Table 3). These results indicated that the electronic effect of the C-F bond in the CF₃ group might play an important role in achieving high diastereoselectivities in both reduction systems. The electrostatic repulsion¹⁵ of the lone pairs between the sulfur atom and the fluorine atom makes CF₃ group be far away from the sulfur atoms in both systems. Therefore TS-A is more stable than TS-B in the six-membered chairlike models under the NaBH₄ reduction and TS-D is more favored than TS-C in the open transition state under the L-Selectride reduction. Hence, the six-membered transition state in which the sulfinyl oxygen participates in the delivery of hydride in the NaBH₄ system gives (Rs, R)-3 as the major product, while an open transition state in the L-Selectride system affords the major product (Rs, S)-4.



Scheme 1. Proposed transition state for the one-pot reductive amination of 1.

3. Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a facile protocol for the asymmetric synthesis of either stereoisomer of α -trifluoromethylated amines. The diastereoselective reduction of α-trifluoromethyl N-tertbutanesulfinyl ketoimines formed in situ from the corresponding α-trifluoromethyl ketones and *N*-tert-butanesulfinamide took place readily to give either diastereomer of the tert-butanesulfinyl-protected trifluoromethylated amines in good yields and with excellent diastereoselectivities by simply choosing the appropriate reducing agents. Further studies on the application of this method in the preparation of CF₃-containing natural product analogues are in progress in our laboratory.

4. Experimental section

4.1. General experimental methods

Unless otherwise mentioned, solvents, and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. Et₂O and THF were freshly distilled over Na/benzophenone. Melting points were measured on a Melt-Temp apparatus and uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM-300 or Mercury 300 (300 MHz) spectrometers with TMS as internal standard. ¹⁹F NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AM-300 or Mercury 300 (282 MHz) spectrometers with CFCl₃ as an external standard. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker 300 (75.5 MHz) or DPX-400 (100.7 MHz) spectrometers. IR spectra were obtained with a Nicolet AV-360 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were taken on a HP5989A spectrometer. High-resolution mass data were obtained on a highresolution mass spectrometer in the EI or MALDI mode.

4.2. General procedure for the one-pot reductive amination of 1 with NaBH₄

Trifluoromethyl ketones 1 (0.4 mmol) were added to a solution of (R)-2 (0.5 mmol) and Ti(Oi-Pr)₄ (1.0 mmol) in Et_2O (4 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux and the reaction was monitored by ¹⁹F NMR. After the reaction was complete, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and then to -78 °C NaBH₄ (1.2 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulted mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 3 h (monitored by TLC). After reaction, the mixture was quenched with saturated NaCl solution (5 mL) at -78 °C and then warmed to room temperature while being rapidly stirred. The resulting suspension was filtered through a plug of Celite, and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was washed with saturated NaCl solution. The aqueous layer

was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL×3). The combined organic solution was dried over Na₂SO₄. After the removal of volatile solvents under vacuum, the crude product was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give product **3**.

4.2.1. (Rs,R)-N-(2,2,2-Trifluoro-1-phenylethyl)-tert-butanesulfinamide (**3a**). Colorless viscous oil, yield 85%; FT-IR (film, cm⁻¹): ν 3196, 2962, 2930, 2872, 1495, 1366, 1265, 1173, 1125, 1073, 693; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.46–7.40 (m, 5H), 4.94–4.81 (m, 1H), 3.90 (s, 1H), 1.24 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –74.33 (d, *J*=7.1 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 131.67, 129.70, 129.26, 128.73, 124.47 (q, *J*=281.8 Hz), 60.52 (q, *J*=30.4 Hz), 56.35, 22.31; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 280 (M⁺+1, 4.29), 223 (M⁺-^tBu+1, 9.94), 159 (17.29), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₂H₁₆F₃NOS [M⁺]: 279.0905; found: 279.0901.

4.2.2. (*Rs*,*R*)-*N*-(2,2,2-*Trifluoro*-1-(4-*methoxyphenyl*)*ethyl*)-*tert-butanesulfinamide* (**3b**). Colorless viscous oil, yield 78%; FT-IR (film, cm⁻¹): ν 3209, 2962, 2910, 2871, 1615, 1518, 1466, 1366, 1253, 1172, 1126, 1073, 829, 732, 585; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.29 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, *J*=8.7 Hz, 2H), 4.83–4.68 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –74.70 (d, *J*=5.6 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.57, 130.58, 123.32, 114.13, 124.55 (q, *J*=281.5 Hz), 59.85 (q, *J*=30.4 Hz), 56.16, 55.17, 22.31; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 310 (M⁺+1, 5.26), 253 (M⁺–^tBu+1, 6.01), 189 (100.00), 57 (84.83); HRMS(EI) calcd for C₁₃H₁₈F₃NO₂S [M⁺]: 309.1010; found: 309.1012.

4.2.3. (*Rs*,*R*)-*N*-(2,2,2-*Trifluoro*-1-*p*-tolylethyl)-tert-butanesulfinamide (**3c**). Colorless viscous oil, yield 72%; FT-IR (film, cm⁻¹): ν 3198, 2960, 2929, 2870, 1458, 1365, 1266, 1172, 1126, 1075, 811, 728, 670; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.32 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.80–4.85 (m, 1H), 3.84 (s, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -74.50 (d, *J*=7.1 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 139.55, 129.34, 129.05, 128.60, 124.48 (q, *J*=281.7 Hz), 60.19 (q, *J*=30.2 Hz), 56.16, 22.20, 21.05; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 294 (M⁺+1, 1.52), 237 (M⁺-^{*t*}Bu+1, 12.76), 173 (36.95), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₃H₁₈F₃NOS [M⁺]: 293.1061; found: 293.1073.

4.2.4. (*Rs*,*R*)-*N*-(1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-tert-butanesulfinamide (**3d**). Colorless viscous oil, yield 66%; FT-IR (film, cm⁻¹): ν 3198, 2962, 2929, 2872, 1493, 1366, 1266, 1175, 1126, 1075, 728, 670; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.56 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.75–4.94 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 1H), 1.24 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -74.41 (d, *J*=6.5 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 135.78, 132.06, 130.53, 127.59, 124.16 (q, *J*=281.6 Hz), 59.98 (q, *J*=31.0 Hz), 56.55, 22.24; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 357 (M⁺, 2.40), 301 (M⁺-^tBu+1, 1.82), 237 (10.46), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₂H₁₅BrF₃NOS [M⁺]: 357.0010; found: 357.0017.

4.2.5. (*Rs*,*R*)-*N*-(1,1,1-Trifluoro-5-phenylpentan-2-yl)-tert-butanesulfinamide (**3e**). White solid, yield 69%; mp 68–70 °C; FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 3207, 3029, 2959, 2933, 2870, 1604, 1541, 1498, 1465, 1365, 1275, 1180, 1163, 1126, 1067, 749, 700; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.37–7.14 (m, 5H), 3.77–3.56 (m, 1H), 3.44 (d, *J*=9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (t, *J*=4.5 Hz, 2H), 2.05–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.18 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -75.13 (d, *J*=7.6 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 141.14, 128.36, 128.23, 125.97, 125.24 (q, *J*=282.8 Hz), 57.83 (q, *J*=29.0 Hz), 56.94, 35.15, 28.71, 26.85, 22.42; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 265 (M⁺–^tBu+1, 11.18), 217 (2.68), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₁H₁₄F₃NOS [M⁺–^tBu+1]: 265.0748; found: 265.0755.

4.2.6. (*Rs*,*R*)-*N*-(1,1,1-*Trifluorodecan*-2-*y*l)-tert-butanesulfinamide (**3***f*). Colorless viscous oil, yield 79%; FT-IR (film, cm⁻¹): ν 3297, 2958, 2928, 2859, 1468, 1366, 1276, 1168, 1133, 1112, 1066, 940, 885, 847, 795, 693, 580; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.72–3.55 (m, 1H), 3.43 (s, 1H), 1.90–1.47 (m, 4H), 1.37–1.26 (m, 10H), 1.25 (s, 9H), 0.88 (t, *J*=5.7 Hz, 3H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –75.26 (d, *J*=6.5 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR

(CDCl₃): δ 125.30 (q, *J*=283.1 Hz), 57.99 (q, *J*=29.6 Hz), 56.90, 31.65, 29.12, 29.03, 28.94, 25.18, 22.49, 22.40, 13.90; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 316 (M⁺+1, 6.09) 259 (M⁺-^tBu+1, 2.70), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₄H₂₈F₃NOS [M⁺]: 315.1844; found: 315.1833.

4.3. General procedure for the one-pot reductive amination of 1 with L-Selectride

Trifluoromethyl ketones 1 (0.4 mmol) were added to a solution of (R)-2 (0.5 mmol) and Ti(Oi-Pr)₄ (1.0 mmol) in Et₂O (4 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred under reflux and the reaction was monitored by ¹⁹F NMR. After the reaction was completed, the mixture was cooled to room temperature and then to -78 °C. A solution of L-Selectride (1.2 mL, 1.2 mmol, 1.0 M solution in THF) was added slowly, and the resulted mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 3 h (monitored by TLC). After reaction, the mixture was quenched with saturated NaCl solution (5 mL) at -78 °C and then warmed to room temperature while being rapidly stirred. The resulting suspension was filtered through a plug of Celite, and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was washed with saturated NaCl solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL×3). The combined organic solution was dried over Na₂SO₄. After the removal of volatile solvents under vacuum, the crude product was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give product 4.

4.3.1. (*Rs*,*S*)-*N*-(2,2,2-*Trifluoro*-1-*phenylethyl*)-*tert*-*butanesulfinamide* (**4a**)^{3a}. White solid, yield 78%; mp 139–141 °C; FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 2995, 2964, 1498, 1460, 1365, 1265, 1155, 1123, 1058, 1014, 930, 761, 702; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.47–7.36 (m, 5H), 4.90–4.76 (m, 1H), 3.70 (s, 1H), 1.24 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -73.29 (d, *J*=7.1 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 133.68, 129.70, 129.12, 127.90, 124.58 (q, *J*=281.1 Hz), 61.35 (q, *J*=30.9 Hz), 58.89, 22.28; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 223 (M⁺–^tBu+1, 7.80), 159 (13.71), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₈H₇F₃NOS [M⁺–^tBu]: 222.02000; found: 222.0193.

4.3.2. (*Rs*,*S*)-*N*-(2,2,2-*Trifluoro*-1-(4-*methoxyphenyl*)*ethyl*)-*tert-butanesulfinamide* (**4b**). White solid, yield 68%; mp 164–167 °C; FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 3247, 3116, 2989, 2966, 2906, 1616, 1519, 1467, 1365, 1263, 1183, 1121, 1058, 812, 711, 528; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.28 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.88–4.64 (m, 1H), 3.54 (s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 1.18 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ -73.52 (d, *J*=7.3 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 160.40, 129.20, 125.78, 114.54, 124.69 (q, *J*=281.4 Hz), 60.84 (q, *J*=30.9 Hz), 56.84, 55.29, 22.31; EIMS (*m*/*z*,%): 310 (M⁺+1, 0.78), 253 (M⁺-^tBu+1, 3.13), 189 (51.20), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₃H₁₈F₃NO₂S [M⁺]: 309.1010; found: 309.1013.

4.3.3. (*Rs*,*S*)-*N*-(2,2,2-*Trifluoro*-1-*p*-tolylethyl)-tert-butanesulfinamide (**4c**). White solid, yield 70%; mp 174–176 °C; FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 3198, 2971, 2927, 1517, 1458, 1365, 1268, 1156, 1124, 1064, 806, 724, 547; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.31 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, *J*=8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.95–4.76 (m, 1H), 3.69 (s, 1H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –73.38 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 139.56, 130.78, 129.83, 127.78, 124.67 (q, *J*=281.6 Hz), 61.16 (q, *J*=30.2 Hz), 56.88, 22.32, 21.13; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 237 (M⁺–^tBu+1, 7.44), 173 (26.30), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₉H₉F₃NOS [M⁺–^tBu]: 236.0357; found: 236.0365.

4.3.4. (*Rs*,*S*)-*N*-(1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)-tert-butanesulfinamide (**4d**)^{3a}. White solid, yield 60%; mp 164–167 °C; FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 2968, 2928, 1493, 1365, 1265, 1161, 1123, 1058, 1014, 917, 811, 728; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.56 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (d, *J*=7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.88–4.72 (m, 1H), 3.56 (s, 1H), 1.24 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –73.95 (d, *J*=7.1 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 135.63, 132.35, 131.54, 127.54, 123.96 (q, *J*=281.1 Hz), 61.35 (q, *J*=30.9 Hz), 57.25, 22.17; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 301 (M⁺-^tBu+1, 0.66), 237 (4.07), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₈H₆BrF₃NOS [M⁺-^tBu]: 299.9306; found: 299.9304.

4.3.5. (*Rs*,*S*)-*N*-(1,1,1-*Trifluoro-5-phenylpentan-2-yl*)-*tert-butane-sulfinamide* (*4e*). White solid, yield 68%; mp 74–76 °C; FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 3219, 3031, 2962, 2871, 1605, 1498, 1456, 1365, 1275, 1180, 1163, 1126, 1058, 750, 696; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.37–7.14 (m, 5H), 3.77–3.56 (m, 1H), 3.07 (s, 1H), 2.80–2.55 (m, 2H), 2.10–1.72 (m, 2H), 1.69–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.23 (s, 9H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –75.83 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 141.03, 128.36, 128.33, 125.89, 125.26 (q, *J*=288.8 Hz), 58.56 (q, *J*=29.6 Hz), 56.65, 34.93, 28.77, 26.30, 22.28; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 322 (M⁺+1, 0.75), 265 (M⁺–^tBu+1, 14.95), 217 (3.25), 57 (100); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₁H₁₄F₃NOS [M⁺–^tBu+1]: 265.0748; found: 265.0760.

4.3.6. (*Rs*,*S*)-*N*-(1,1,1-*Trifluorodecan*-2-*y*l)-*tert*-*butanesulfinamide* (**4f**). Colorless viscous oil, yield 84%; FT-IR (film, cm⁻¹): ν 3209, 2958, 2929, 2860, 1495, 1366, 1276, 1168, 1133, 1111, 1046, 887, 723, 697; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 3.72–3.59 (m, 1H), 3.08 (d, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 1.67–1.40 (m, 4H), 1.36–1.26 (m, 10H), 1.24 (s, 9H), 0.88 (t, *J*=5.7 Hz, 3H); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃): δ –75.94 (d, *J*=7.1 Hz, 3F); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ 124.36 (q, *J*=281.1 Hz), 58.75 (q, *J*=30.2 Hz), 56.70, 31.74, 29.56, 29.14, 28.05, 24.87, 22.55, 22.33, 13.98; EIMS (*m*/*z*, %): 316 (M⁺+1, 1.22), 259 (M⁺–^tBu+1, 4.02), 57 (100.00); HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₄H₂₈F₃NOS [M⁺]: 315.1844; found: 315.1857.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20872166), Doctoral Fund of Ministry of Education of China, and Postdoctoral Fund of China is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.09.047. These data include MOL files and InChiKeys of the most important compounds described in this article.

References and notes

- (a) Ojima, I.; McCarthy, J. R.; Welch, J. T. Biomedical Frontiers of Fluorine Chemistry. ACS Symposium Series; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1996; 639; (b) Filler, R.; Kobayashi, Y.; Yagulpolskii, Y. L. Organofluorine Compounds in Medicinal Chemistry and Biomedical Applications; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1993; (c) Banks, R. E.; Smart, B. E.; Tatlow, J. C. Organofluorine Chemistry: Principles and Commercial Applications; Plenum: New York, NY, 1994; (d) Fluorine in Bioorganic Chemistry; Welch, J. T., Eshwarakrishman, S., Eds.; Wiley: New York, NY, 1991; (e) Hiyama, T. Organofluorine Compounds, Chemistry and Application; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2000; (f) Uneyama, K. Organofluorine Chemistry; Blackwell: Oxford, 2006.
- For reviews, see: (a) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 6119; (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 112, 123; (c) Billard, T.; Langlois, B. R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 891.

- (a) Olah, G. A.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 589;
 (b) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M.; Olah, G. A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 2847; (c) Prakash, G. K. S.; Mandal, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6538; (d) Estivill, C.; Mendizabal, J.; Virgili, A.; Monteagudo, E.; Flor, T.; Sánchez-Ferrando, F.; Alvarez-Larena, A.; Piniella, J. F. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 171.
- (a) Truong, V. L.; Ménard, M. S.; Dion, I. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 683; (b) Ishii, A.; Higashiyama, K.; Mikami, K. Synlett 1997, 1381; (c) Enders, D.; Funabiki, K. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1575; (d) Gosselin, F.; Roy, A.; O'Shea, P. D.; Chen, C.-Y.; Volante, R. P. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 641.
- (a) Pirkle, W. H.; Hauske, J. R. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 2436; (b) Török, B.; Prakash, G. K. S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2003, 345, 165; (c) Gosselin, F.; O'Shea, P. D.; Roy, S.; Reamer, R. A.; Chen, C.-Y.; Volante, R. P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 355.
- 6. For other examples for the asymmetric synthesis of α-trifluoromethylated amines, see: (a) Kawai, H.; Kusuda, A.; Nakamura, S.; Shiro, M.; Shibata, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 6324; (b) Wang, Y.; Mosher, H. S. Tetrahedron Lett. **1991**, 32, 987; (c) Soloshonok, V. A.; Ono, T. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 3030; (d) Ishii, A.; Miyamoto, F.; Higashiyama, K.; Mikami, K. Chem. Lett. **1998**, 119; (e) Jiang, J.; DeVita, R. J.; Doss, G. A.; Goulet, M. T.; Wyvratt, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1999**, *121*, 593; (f) Jiang, J.; Shah, H.; DeVita, R. J. Org. Lett. **2003**, *5*, 4101; (g) Xu, W.; Dolbier, W. R., Jr. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, *70*, 4741.
- For CF₃-substituted N-tert-butylsulfinyl imines, see: (a) see Ref. 5a; (b) Wang, H.; Zhao, X.-M.; Li, Y.-H.; Lu, L. Org. Lett. **2006**, 8, 1379; (c) Kuduk, S. D.; Marco, C. N. D.; Pitzenberger, S. M.; Tsou, N. Tetrahedron Lett. **2006**, 47, 2377 For CF₃substituted N-p-tolylsulfinyl imines, see: (d) Asensio, A.; Bravo, P.; Crucianelli, M.; Farina, A.; Fustero, S.; Soler, J. G.; Meille, S. V.; Panzeri, W.; Viani, F.; Volonterio, A.; Zanda, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2001**, 1449; (e) Bravo, P.; Crucianelli, M.; Vergani, B.; Zanda, M. Tetrahedron Lett. **1998**, 38, 7771; (f) Crucianelli, M.; De Angelis, F.; Lazarro, F.; Malpezzi, L.; Volonterio, A.; Zanda, M. J. Fluorine Chem. **2004**, *125*, 573; (g) Ruano, J. L. G.; Haro, T.; Singh, R.; Cid, M. B. J. Org. Chem. **2008**, *73*, 1150.
- (a) Wang, X.-J.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, J.-T. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1343; (b) Liu, Z.-J.; Mei, Y.-Q.; Liu, J.-T. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 855; (c) Zhao, Y.; Wang, X.-J.; Liu, J.-T. Synlett 2008, 1017; (d) Wang, X.-J.; Zhao, Y.; Liu, J.-T. Synthesis 2008, 3967; (e) Zhang, F.; Wang, X.-J.; Cai, C.-X.; Liu, J.-T. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 83.
- 9. Liu, Z.-J.; Liu, J.-T. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5233.
- (a) Tanuwidjaja, J.; Peltier, H. M.; Ellman, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 626; (b) Borg, G. D.; Cogan, A.; Ellman, J. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6709.
- 11. The synthesis of the chiral CF₃-substituted (*R*)-*N*-*tert*-butanesulfinyl ketoimines has been reported by Lu and co-workers. see Ref. 7b. In their context, they have pointed out that these CF₃-substituted sulfinylketoimines are readily hydrolyzed upon prolonged standing on silica gel. We also tried to isolate these ketoimines by column chromatography on silica gel, but low to moderate yields (less than 50% and the product with a large impurity) were obtained. After the reaction conditions for the condensation of 2,2,2-trifluoroacetophenone with *N*-*tert*-butanesulfinamide were optimized, we found that moderate to good yields (determined by ¹⁹F NMR) can be obtained in several solvents, such as Et₂O (87%), THF (74%), *n*-hexane (70%), in the presence of 2.5 equiv of Ti(Oi-Pr)₄ under reflux for 20–24 h.
- For the stereoselectivity reversal in hydride reduction of *N*-tert-butanesulfinyl imines, see: (a) Reddy, L. R.; Das, S. G.; Liu, Y.; Prashad, M. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, *75*, 2236; (b) Martjuga, M.; Shabashov, D.; Belyakov, S.; Liepinsh, E.; Suna, E. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, *75*, 2357; (c) Colyer, J. T.; Anderson, N. G.; Tedrow, J. S.; Soukup, T. S.; Faul, M. M. J. Org. Chem. **2006**, *71*, 6859; (d) Denolf, B.; Leemans, E.; De Kimpe, N. J. Org. Chem. **2007**, *72*, 3211; (e) Chelucci, G.; Baldino, S.; Chessa, S.; Pinna, G. A.; Soccolini, F. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry **2006**, *17*, 3163; (f) Kochi, T.; Tang, T. P.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 6518; (g) Kochi, T.; Tang, T. P.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 11276; (h) Kochi, T.; Ellman, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, *126*, 15652; (i) Peltier, H. M.; Ellman, J. A. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, *70*, 7342.
- 13. Among the solvents (THF, Et₂O, Toluene, CH₂Cl₂, n-hexane and CH₃CN) tested for the L-Selectride-mediated one-pot reductive amination of 2,2,2-trifluoroacetophenone, Et₂O was still proved to be the best solvent considering both the diastereoselectivity and the yield of the reaction.
- (a) Kitazume, T.; Yamazaki, T. Experimental Methods in Organic Fluorine Chemistry; Kodansha: Tokyo, 1998, pp 9–10; (b) see Ref. 1f, pp 81–89. (c) see Ref. 2a.
- (a) O'Hagan, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 308; (b) Purser, S.; Moore, P. R.; Swallow, S.; Gouverneur, V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 320.